arXiv:1402.5129v1 [math.CO] 20 Feb 2014

ON A COHEN-LENSTRA HEURISTIC FOR JACOBIANS OF RANDOM GRAPHS

JULIEN CLANCY, NATHAN KAPLAN, TIMOTHY LEAKE, SAM PAYNE, MELANIE MATCHETT WOOD

Abstract. We make specific conjectures about the distribution of Jacobians of random graphs with their canonical duality pairings. Our conjectures are based on a Cohen-Lenstra type heuristic saying that a finite abelian group with duality pairing appears with frequency inversely proportional to the size of the group times the size of the group of automorphisms that preserve the pairing. We conjecture that the Jacobian of a random graph is cyclic with probability a little over .7935. We determine the values of several other statistics on Jacobians of random graphs that would follow from our conjectures. In support of the conjectures, we prove that random symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, distributed according to Haar measure, have cokernels distributed according to the above heuristic. We also give experimental evidence in support of our conjectures.

1. Introduction

Jacobians of graphs are often cyclic. A similar phenomenon has been observed in class groups of imaginary quadratic fields, where it is conjecturally explained by the classical Cohen-Lenstra heuristic, in which a group Γ appears with frequency proportional to $1/\#\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. Jacobians of Erdös-Renyi random graphs also seem to exhibit some of the deeper properties predicted by this heuristic. For instance, we have observed empirically that the average size of the Jacobian of a random graph modulo p tends to 2, for all primes p, matching [CL84a]. However, we have also observed that the odd part of the Jacobian of a random graph is cyclic with probability close to .946, which does not match the classical Cohen-Lenstra heuristic prediction that the odd part of a random abelian group should be cyclic with probability a little over .9775. This paper shows how these and other observed phenomena are explained by a natural variation on the Cohen-Lenstra heuristic, proposed in [CLP13], based on the fact that the Jacobian of a graph carries a canonical duality pairing.

Heuristic 1 ([CLP13])**.** *A group Γ with pairing δ occurs as a Jacobian of a random graph with frequency proportional to*

$$\frac{1}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\,(\Gamma,\delta)}\,,$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}\,(\Gamma,\delta)$ *denotes the group of automorphisms of* Γ *that respect the pairing* δ*.*

In the present paper, we use this heuristic to make precise conjectures and compute predicted averages based on these conjectures for many specific statistics. The Jacobian of a graph is the torsion part of the cokernel of its Laplacian matrix, which

is a symmetric matrix, and we also prove results about random symmetric matrices distributed according to Haar measure in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, showing their cokernels are distributed according to Heuristic 1, and providing theoretical evidence for our conjectures. We also present empirical data to support the conjectures, in Section 4.

1.1. **The pairing.** Recall that a duality pairing on a finite abelian group Γ is a symmetric bilinear map $\delta : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that the induced map $g \to \langle g, \ \rangle$ is an isomorphism from Γ to $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The cokernel of a nonsingular symmetric integer matrix A carries a canonical duality pairing, given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = y^t A^{-1} x.$$

More generally, the torsion part of the cokernel of any symmetric integer matrix carries a canonical duality pairing. The Jacobian of a graph occurs naturally in this way, as the torsion subgroup of the cokernel of the combinatorial Laplacian. See [Sho10] for a detailed discussion of the duality pairing on graph Jacobians and its relation to the Grothendieck pairing, or monodromy pairing, on component groups of Néron models.

1.2. **Conjectures.** Let $G(n, q)$ be the Erdös-Renyi random graph on n vertices, where each edge is included independently with probability q, for some fixed probability $0 < q < 1$. In other words, $G(n, q)$ is the probability space on graphs with n vertices in which a graph G with e edges appears with probability $q^e \cdot (1-q)^{\binom{n}{2}-e}$. Here we study the associated probability space on finite abelian groups with duality pairing, obtained by taking the Jacobian of $G(n, q)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}(m)$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of pairs (Γ, δ), where Γ is an abelian group of order m and δ is a duality pairing on Γ. We study

$$\Gamma(n, q) = \mathrm{Jac}(G(n, q)),$$

which is a probability measure supported on a finite subset of $\cup_m \mathcal{A}(m)$, and asymptotic properties of this measure as n tends to infinity.

Our first conjecture is the analog of Cohen and Lenstra's Fundamental Assumption 8.1 for their heuristics on class groups of number fields [CL84a] .

Conjecture 1. *Let F be a non-negative function on isomorphism classes of finite abelian groups with duality pairings. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(F(\Gamma(n,q))) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(m)} \frac{F(\Gamma,\delta)}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}}{\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(m)} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}}.$$

One important special case is where the function F only depends on the Sylow p-subgroup of Γ with the restricted pairing. In this case, Conjecture 1 implies the following, as in [CL84a, Proposition 5.6]:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(F(\Gamma(n,q))) = \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \frac{F(\Gamma,\delta)}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}}{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}}. \tag{1}$$

In Proposition 7, we show that the denominator of the right-hand side above converges to $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})^{-1}$. Therefore, we can put a measure μ on

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \cup_m \mathcal{A}(p^m)$$

so that

$$\mu(\Gamma,\delta)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}.$$

Then, if F depends only on the Sylow p-subgroup with its restricted pairing, Conjecture 1 says that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}(F(\Gamma(n,q)))=\int_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p}F(\Gamma,\delta)d\mu. \tag{2}$$

As with the classical Cohen-Lenstra heuristic, different functions F give rise to estimates for various statistics on random finite abelian p-groups with duality pairings, distributed according to Heuristic 1.

In Section 3, we compute the integral on the right-hand side above for several interesting functions F, the indicator function for the set of groups with trivial p-part, the indicator function for groups with cyclic p-part, the number of surjections onto a fixed group, and the functions $p^{kr_p(\Gamma)}$, where $r_p(\Gamma)$ is the p-rank of Γ. For example, in Theorem 10, we show that if $\Gamma'=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$ with $e_1\le e_2\le\cdots\le e_r$ then

$$\int_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p}\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma')d\mu=p^{(r-1)e_1+(r-2)e_2+\cdots+e_{r-1}}.$$

Remark 1. While this paper was in preparation, the fifth author proved Conjecture 1 for many functions F that depend on only finitely many Sylow p-subgroups of Γ [Woo14]. In particular, our predictions are now confirmed for F the indicator function for the set of groups with a given Sylow p-subgroup, the indicator function for groups with cyclic p-part, the number of surjections onto a fixed group, and $p^{kr_p(\Gamma)}$. Theorem 2 from this paper is an ingredient in the proof.

In Proposition 9, we show that Conjecture 1 implies that the asymptotic probability that that Sylow p-subgroup of the Jacobian of a random graph is cyclic is $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{-1-2i})$. Taking the product over all primes p, we are led to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. *The probability that the Jacobian of $G(n,q)$ is cyclic tends to*

$$\prod_{p}\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{-1-2i})=\zeta(3)^{-1}\zeta(5)^{-1}\zeta(7)^{-1}\zeta(9)^{-1}\cdots$$

as n goes to infinity, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function.

This product converges to approximately .7935. Note that Wagner has made other conjectures on statistics of Jacobians of random graphs [Wag00, Conjectures 4.2, 4.3, 4.4], and his conjecture for the asymptotic probability that the Jacobian is cyclic differs from Conjecture 2.

Remark 2. One could also consider stronger versions of these conjectures, allowing the probability q to vary with n. If q is too close to 0, then the graph will have very few edges. In particular, if it has no cycles, then the Jacobian will be trivial. Similarly, if q is too close to 1, then $G(n,q)$ will be very close to the complete graph, whose Jacobian is $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{n-2}$. Since the p-rank of a group changes by at most 1 when an edge is added or deleted [Lor89, Lemma 5.3], the Jacobian of $G(n,q)$ will rarely be cyclic when q is too close to 1. It is natural to expect that versions of these conjectures will hold for $G(n,q(n))$ provided that $q(n)\log(n)$ and $(1-q(n))\log(n)$ both go to infinity.

Remark 3. The idea of a variation of the classical Cohen-Lenstra heuristic that involves $\#\Gamma$ and the number of automorphisms preserving a bilinear form has appeared earlier, in other contexts. Cohen and Lenstra already considered a heuristic for class groups of real quadratic fields in which Γ appears with frequency proportional to $1/(\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ \Gamma)$ [CL84a, CL84b], and Delaunay studied variations on this heuristic for groups with alternating pairings [Del01, Del07]. He proposed a heuristic for Tate-Shafarevich groups, which are only conjecturally finite but, when finite, carry a canonical non-degenerate alternating bilinear form β [Cas62]. In Delaunay's heuristic for Tate-Shafarevich groups of rank 0 elliptic curves, a finite abelian group with non-degenerate alternating bilinear form (Γ, β) appears with frequency proportional to $\#\Gamma/\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \beta)$ where $\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \beta)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma)$ consisting of automorphisms that respect the alternating form. One slight increase in subtlety in our case is that a finite abelian group can carry several non-isomorphic duality pairings [BM98], while a group with a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form carries exactly one isomorphism class of such forms.

Remark 4. We do not know any obvious explanation for the exact form of Heuristic 1, but some natural analogies are at least suggestive of a relation to the heuristic of Cohen and Lenstra for class groups of real quadratic fields. Delaunay's heuristic for Tate-Shafarevich groups is motivated by an analogy relating the Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ to the group of units in a number field. The analogy readily extends to graphs, with the Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic curve corresponding to the full cokernel of the combinatorial Laplacian, which is a finitely generated abelian group of rank 1. The rank 1 case for elliptic curves corresponds to the case of real quadratic fields, where Cohen and Lenstra predict that a group Γ appears with frequency proportional to $1/(\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ \Gamma)$. The difference for Jacobians of graphs is that we consider only automorphisms that respect the duality pairing.

1.3. **Result for Haar random symmetric matrices.** In support of the above conjectures, we prove the following, where a random p-adic symmetric matrix stands in for the graph Laplacian. For a ring R, let $\mathrm{Sym}_n(R)$ denote the additive group of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in R.

Theorem 2. *Let Γ be a finite abelian p-group of rank r with a duality pairing δ, and let A be a random $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with respect to additive Haar measure on $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then the probability that the cokernel of A with its given duality pairing is isomorphic to (Γ, δ) is*

$$\mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = \frac{\prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}(1-p^{-j}) \prod_{i=1}^{\lceil (n-r)/2 \rceil}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)},$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)$ is the set of automorphisms of Γ that preserve the pairing δ. In particular,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)}.$$

In other words, the probability that the cokernel of a random symmetric $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to (Γ, δ) tends to a limit that is inversely proportional to $\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)$, with constant of proportionality $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})$.

Remark 5. Note that a random matrix is nonsingular with probability 1, but the Laplacian of a random graph is always singular because its row sums and column sums are zero. However, we also prove that the same distribution holds for the torsion part of the cokernel of a random matrix with all row sums and column sums equal to zero, with respect to Haar measure on this subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. See Theorem 6.

2. Distribution of Cokernels of Haar Random Symmetric Matrices

In this section, we determine the distribution of cokernels of random symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, chosen according to Haar measure, and prove Theorem 2. In particular, we see that these cokernels are distributed according to Heuristic 1 as the size of the matrix goes to infinity. This is an analog of a result of Friedman and Washington [FW89], that cokernels of Haar random square matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are distributed according to the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics as their size goes to infinity, as well as an analog of a result of Bhargava, Kane, Lenstra, Poonen, and Rains [BKL$^+$13], that cokernels of alternating random matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are distributed according to Delaunay's heuristics as their size goes to infinity.

Remark. Theorem 2 is used by the fifth author in [Woo14], in combination with a universality result that says cokernels of much more general random symmetric matrices, including Laplacians of random graphs, are distributed in the same way as cokernels of Haar random symmetric matrices. See also Maples [Map13] announcement of a universality result on the p-ranks of the cokernels of random symmetric matrices with independent entries on and above the diagonal.

Let A be nonsingular symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then A induces a natural symmetric bilinear pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

given by

$$\langle x, y\rangle_A = y^t A^{-1} x.$$

Let Γ be the cokernel of A. If x or y is in the image of A, then $\langle x, y\rangle_A$ is $0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, so there is an induced symmetric pairing $\delta_A : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. The image of δ_A is a subgroup of $\frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, which is naturally identified with $\frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. The resulting map from Γ to $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is injective, and hence an isomorphism. In particular, (Γ, δ_A) is a finite abelian p-group with duality pairing.

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2, which gives the distribution on the groups with duality pairing appearing as the cokernel of A, when A is chosen randomly with respect to additive Haar measure on $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The structure of the argument is similar to the proof of [BKL$^+$13, Theorem 3.9]. One key ingredient in the argument is the following characterization of pairs of matrices that determine the same pairings $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. For a matrix M with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we write $\overline{M}$ for the matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ given by reducing the entries of M modulo p.

Lemma 3 (Lemma 3.2 of [BKL$^+$13])**.** *Let A and M be nonsingular $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then the pairings $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$ from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ are the same if and only if*

(1) *the matrix A is equal to $M + MRM$, for some $R \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and*

(2) *the rank of* $\overline{A}$ *is equal to the rank of* $\overline{M}$.

We also use the following formula for counting pairings. For an arbitrary symmetric bilinear pairing $[\ ,\ ]:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\times\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, we write coker$[\ ,\ ]$ for the finite abelian p-group

$$\text{coker}[\ ,\ ]=\mathbb{Z}_p^n/\{x\in\mathbb{Z}_p^n\mid [x,y]=0 \text{ for all } y\in\mathbb{Z}_p^n\}.$$

Note that coker$[\ ,\ ]$ carries a canonical duality pairing, induced by $[\ ,\ ]$.

Lemma 4. *The number of symmetric bilinear pairings* $[\ ,\ ]:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\times\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ *such that* coker$[\ ,\ ]$ *with its canonical duality pairing is isomorphic to* (Γ,δ) *is*

$$\frac{\#\Gamma^n\cdot\prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}(1-p^{-j})}{\#\text{Aut }(\Gamma,\delta)}.$$

Proof. A symmetric bilinear pairing $[\ ,\ ]:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\times\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ with a choice of an isomorphism coker$[\ ,\ ]\xrightarrow{\sim}\Gamma$ respecting the pairings is equivalent to a surjection $\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\Gamma$. In each instance, there are $\#\text{Aut }(\Gamma,\delta)$ choices of isomorphisms from coker$[\ ,\ ]$ to Γ that respect the pairings, so the number of distinct pairings with cokernel isomorphic to (Γ,δ) is

$$\#\text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n,\Gamma)/\#\text{Aut }(\Gamma,\delta).$$

Note that a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\Gamma$ is surjective if and only if it is a surjection modulo p, by Nakayama's Lemma. Therefore,

$$\#\text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^n,\Gamma)=\frac{\#\Gamma^n\cdot\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(p^n-p^i)}{p^{nr}},$$

and the lemma follows. □

The final key ingredient in our argument is the classification of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ up to $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ equivalence. When p is odd, any symmetric bilinear form over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is diagonalizable by a p-adic integral change of coordinates. More precisely, if M is a symmetric matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then we can choose $H\in\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that HMH^t is diagonal [CS99, Section 15.4.4]. The classification of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbb{Q}_2$ is more complicated, so we treat this case separately at the end of the section.

Proof of Theorem 2 for odd p. Let A be a symmetric $n\times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, chosen randomly with respect to additive Haar measure. The singular matrices have Haar measure zero, so we may assume A is nonsingular. We want to determine the probability that the cokernel of A with duality pairing δ_A is isomorphic to (Γ,δ). Note that $\langle x,y\rangle_A$ is zero for all $y\in\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ if and only if x is in $A\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, so the cokernel of A with its duality pairing is canonically isomorphic to coker$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ with its induced pairing.

We now compute the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ is equal to a fixed symmetric bilinear pairing $[\ ,\ ]:\mathbb{Z}_p^n\times\mathbb{Z}_p^n\to\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that coker$[\ ,\ ]$ with its induced pairing is isomorphic to (Γ,δ). Since A is chosen randomly with respect to Haar measure, this probability is invariant under a change of basis on $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

Assume p is odd, and let $N\in\text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a symmetric matrix whose (i,j) entry is a lift of $[e_i,e_j]$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p$. By the classification of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ up to $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ [CS99, Section 15.4.4], after a change of basis on $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ we may

assume N is diagonal. Possibly changing the lift to $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we may further ensure that N has diagonal entries with valuations $-d_i$, where

$$d_1 = \cdots = d_{n-r} = 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq d_{n-r+1} \leq \cdots \leq d_n.$$

We therefore assume that N is in this form. Let $M = N^{-1}$ and note that, by construction, we have $[\ ,\ ] = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$.

We have shown that the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = [\ ,\ ]$ is the same as the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$, where M is a diagonal matrix whose ith diagonal entry has valuation d_i. By Lemma 3, the pairings $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$ are equal if and only if $A = M + MRM$ for some $R \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\overline{A}) = \operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})$. We now determine the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$.

The condition that A be of the form $M + MRM$ is equivalent to requiring that $M^{-1}(A - M)M^{-1}$ is in $M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Given M, this is equivalent to divisibility conditions on the entries $a_{i,j}$ of A, as follows. Let $p^{d_i}u_i$ be the ith diagonal entry of M, with $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. For $i < j$, the (i,j) entry of $M^{-1}(A - M)M^{-1}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if $p^{d_i+d_j} \mid a_{i,j}$, and the (i,i) entry is in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if $p^{2d_i} \mid (a_{i,i} - p^{d_i}u_i)$. Therefore, for all $i \leq j$, the condition $A \in M + MRM$ is equivalent to fixing the first $d_i + d_j$ digits in the p-adic expansion of $a_{i,j}$. Note, in particular, that when $d_i = d_j = 0$, there is no condition at all on the entry $a_{i,j}$. The probability that a random symmetric matrix satisfies these conditions is then

$$\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n} p^{-(d_i+d_j)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{-(n+1)d_i} = \frac{1}{\#\Gamma^{n+1}}.$$

Furthermore, given the above valuation conditions, we see that $\overline{A}$ is zero outside the upper left $(n-r)\times(n-r)$ minor. The matrix $\overline{M}$ is also zero outside the upper left $(n-r)\times(n-r)$ minor, and this minor is a diagonal matrix with non-zero entries. In particular, $\operatorname{rank}(\overline{M}) = n - r$. The condition that $\operatorname{rank}(\overline{A}) = \operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})$ is independent from the divisibility conditions, and holds with probability equal to the proportion of invertible matrices in $\operatorname{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. In particular, the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$, and hence the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = [\ ,\ ]$, is

$$\frac{\#(\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap \operatorname{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p))}{\#\operatorname{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \#\Gamma^{n+1}}.$$

Note that this probability depends only on $\#\Gamma$, and is independent of all other choices.

Lemma 4 gives the number of symmetric bilinear pairings $[\ ,\ ] : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\operatorname{coker}[\ ,\ ]$ with its induced duality pairing is isomorphic to (Γ, δ). We conclude that the probability that the cokernel of A with its duality pairing is isomorphic to (Γ, δ) is the product

$$\frac{\#(\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap \operatorname{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p))}{\#\operatorname{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \#\Gamma^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{\#\Gamma^n \cdot \prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}(1 - p^{-j})}{\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)}. \tag{3}$$

By [Mac69, Theorem 2], the number of invertible matrices in $\operatorname{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is

$$p^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}(1 - p^{1-2j}).$$

Therefore, the expression in (3) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\lceil (n-r)/2 \rceil}(1-p^{1-2i}) \cdot \prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}(1-p^{-j})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)},$$

and the theorem follows. □

We now return to the case $p = 2$ and show that, for a given symmetric pairing $[\ ,\ ] : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = [\ ,\ ]$ is again

$$\frac{\#(\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap \mathrm{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p))}{\#\mathrm{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \#\Gamma^{n+1}}.$$

The classification of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbb{Q}_2$ is given, for example, in [CS99, Theorem 2, Section 15.4.4].

Theorem 5 ([CS99])**.** *Suppose that $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then there exists a matrix $H \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that HAH^t is a block diagonal matrix consisting of:*

1. *diagonal blocks $u_i 2^{d_i}$, where each $d_i \geq 0$ and u_i is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$,*
2. *2×2 blocks of the form $2^{e_i} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $e_i \geq 0$, b is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $a, c \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof of Theorem 2 for $p = 2$. As in the case for odd p, we let A be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, chosen randomly with respect to additive Haar measure. We will again consider the probability that the cokernel of A with duality pairing δ_A is isomorphic to (Γ, δ). We compute the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ is equal to a fixed symmetric bilinear pairing $[\ ,\ ] : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $\mathrm{coker}[\ ,\ ]$ with its induced pairing is isomorphic to (Γ, δ). As in the case for odd p, since A is chosen randomly with respect to Haar measure, this probability is invariant under a change of basis on $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

Let $N \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ be a symmetric matrix whose (i, j) entry is a lift of $[e_i, e_j]$ to $\mathbb{Q}_2$. We first note that there exists an element $2^m \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $2^m N \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. It is no longer true that we can change basis so that $2^m N$ is diagonal, but the above result shows that there exists $H \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that HNH^t is a block diagonal matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Q}_2$ that has two types of blocks, diagonal blocks $u_i 2^{-d_i}$, and 2×2 blocks of the form $2^{-e_i} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ b_i & c_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where b_i is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $a_i, c_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$. By possibly changing the lift to $\mathbb{Q}_2$, we can suppose that each $d_i, e_i \geq 0$. We note since $a_i c_i - b_i^2$ is a unit, the inverse of a 2×2 block of this form is a unit times $2^{-2e_i} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c_i & -b_i \\ -b_i & a_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Therefore, $M = (HNH^t)^{-1}$ has entries in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and by construction we have $[\ ,\ ] = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$.

After permuting coordinates we may suppose that M begins with an initial set of diagonal entries $u_i 2^{d_i}$, where each u_i is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $0 \leq d_0 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{k_1}$, followed by a set of 2×2 diagonal blocks $2^{e_i} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ b_i & c_i \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where for each i, b_i is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $a_i, c_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $0 \leq e_1 \leq \cdots \leq e_{k_2}$. By invariance of Haar measure, we see that the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = [\ ,\ ]$ is the same as the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$, where M is a block diagonal matrix of this form.

As above, Lemma 3 implies that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_M$ are equal if and only if $A = M + MRM$ for some $R \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\overline{A}) = \mathrm{rank}(\overline{M})$. The condition that A be of the form $M + MRM$ is equivalent to $M^{-1}(A - M)M^{-1} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and gives divisibility conditions on the entries $a_{i,j}$ of A. We determine these conditions by explicitly computing the relevant entries of $M + MRM$. For odd p this

condition gave two kinds of divisibility results, one for $a_{i,j}$ with $i < j$, and another for $a_{i,i}$. With this block diagonal matrix there are more kinds of constraints to consider. In each case determining the constraint is a simple matrix calculation. These constraints fix the initial terms in the 2-adic expansion of the entry $a_{i,j}$ of A, where the number of digits determined is given by the sum of the valuations of the diagonal entries of M, just as in the case of an odd prime p. We confirm this below via explicit computation.

Let $R \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ have entries $r_{i,j}$. We first compute the diagonal entries of $M+MRM$. The diagonal entries in rows corresponding to the 1×1 diagonal blocks are of the form $r_{i,i}u_i^2 2^{2d_i} + u_i 2^{d_i}$. This implies that $a_{i,i} - u_i 2^{d_i}$ can be any element of $2^{2d_i}\mathbb{Z}_2$ under an appropriate choice of $r_{i,i}$, since this condition is equivalent to fixing the first $2d_i$ digits in the 2-adic expansion of $a_{i,i}$.

We now compute the diagonal entry of $M+MRM$ in a row which is the top row of a 2×2 block of M of the form $2^e \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. A computation shows that the diagonal entry is

$$2^{2e}\left(r_{i,i}a^2 + (r_{i,i+1} + r_{i+1,i})ab + r_{i+1,i+1}b^2\right) + 2^e a.$$

Similarly, the diagonal entry corresponding to the bottom row of this block is

$$2^{2e}\left(r_{i,i}b^2 + (r_{i,i+1} + r_{i+1,i})bc + r_{i+1,i+1}c^2\right) + 2^e c.$$

We note that since b^2 is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and a, c are not, by an appropriate choice of $r_{i+1,i+1}$ the entry $a_{i,i}$ in the first case can be any element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $a_{i,i} - 2^e a$ has valuation at least $2e$. A similar statement holds in the second case. This fixes exactly the first $2e$ entries in the 2-adic expansion of the corresponding diagonal entry of A.

We now consider the non-diagonal entries of A for which the corresponding entry of M is nonzero. Consider a row i terminating in the first row of a 2×2 block. The $(i, i+1)$ entry of $M + MRM$ is given by

$$2^{2e}\left(r_{i,i}ab + r_{i+1,i}b^2 + r_{i,i+1}ac + r_{i+1,i+1}bc\right) + 2^e b.$$

Since b^2 is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and a, c are not, we again see that by an appropriate choice of $r_{i+1,i}$ this can be any element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $a_{i,i+1} - 2^e b$ has valuation at least $2e$. This is equivalent to fixing the first $2e$ entries in the 2-adic expansion of $a_{i,i+1}$. There is a very similar result for $a_{i+1,i}$ with $r_{i,i+1}$ in place of $r_{i+1,i}$.

We next consider entries of $a_{i,j}$ of A for which the corresponding entry of M is zero. We now need only compute entries of MRM. If both the row and column correspond to 1×1 diagonal blocks, then the relevant entry is $r_{i,j}u_i u_j 2^{d_i+d_j}$, which can take any value in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of valuation at least $d_i + d_j$ by an appropriate choice of $r_{i,j}$. This fixes the first $d_i + d_j$ entries in the 2-adic expansion of $a_{i,j}$.

If the row corresponds to a diagonal block and the column to the first row of a 2×2 block, the relevant entry of MRM is given by

$$u_i 2^{d_i+e}\left(r_{i,j}a + r_{i,j+1}b\right).$$

The relevant entry of MRM in the same row and one column over from this one is

$$u_i 2^{d_i+e}\left(r_{i,j}b + r_{i,j+1}c\right).$$

In the first case, since b is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and a, c are not, an appropriate choice of $r_{i,j+1}$ shows that $a_{i,j}$ can be any element of valuation at least $d_i + e$. In the next case, an appropriate choice of $r_{i,j}$ gives the same divisibility condition.

The final case to consider is when the row corresponds to either the first or second row in one 2×2 block and the column corresponds to the first or second row of another such block. There are four cases to consider and in each case a simple matrix multiplication shows that this fixes exactly the first $e_i + e_j$ digits in the 2-adic expansion of the corresponding entry of A. More specifically, there is exactly one entry of R in this set of four places which is multiplied by $2^{e_i+e_j}$ times the 2-adic unit $b_i b_j$. By choosing this entry appropriately we see that the corresponding entry of A can be any element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with valuation at least $e_i + e_j$.

The determinant of M is the product of the determinants of the diagonal blocks. For 1×1 diagonal blocks the determinant is a unit times 2^{d_i}. A 2×2 block has determinant equal to a unit times 2^{2e_i}. As in the case for odd p, $\#\Gamma$ is equal to 2^v, where v is the 2-adic valuation of $\det(M)$. Also as above, when the column and row corresponding to $a_{i,j}$ both have 2-adic valuation zero, there are no conditions on $a_{i,j}$ at all.

We see that given an entry of A, there is a corresponding entry of R which can be chosen to determine it subject to the constraints described above. Therefore, the probability that a matrix A satisfies all of these conditions is given by the product of the probabilities for individual entries. Consider row k of A and suppose the diagonal entry of this row belongs to a block whose determinant has valuation d. Considering the divisibility constraints from all entries $a_{k,j}$ in this row with $j > k$ and all $a_{l,k}$ with $l < k$ along with $a_{k,k}$ gives a factor of $2^{(n+1)d}$. We also note that by permuting rows and columns of M, we may suppose that $\overline{M}$ is zero outside of the upper left $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ minor, and is a block diagonal matrix of rank $n-r$. The divisibility conditions also imply that $\overline{A}$ is zero outside of its upper left $(n-r)\times(n-r)$ minor. The condition that $\operatorname{rank}(\overline{A}) = \operatorname{rank}(\overline{M})$ is again independent of the divisibility conditions. Taking the product over the rows of A shows that the probability that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A = [\ ,\ ]$ is

$$\frac{\#(\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_2) \cap \mathrm{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_2))}{\#\mathrm{Sym}_{n-r}(\mathbb{F}_2)) \cdot \#\Gamma^{n+1}}.$$

The rest of the argument is the same as for odd p. □

2.1. **A generalization of Theorem 2 for matrices with row and column sums equal to zero.** The combinatorial Laplacian of a graph is a symmetric matrix with each row and column sum equal to zero. We now generalize Theorem 2 to random matrices satisfying this condition. Let $\mathrm{Sym}_n^0 \subset \mathrm{Sym}_n$ be the symmetric matrices with each row and column sum equal to 0. We generalize the construction of a finite abelian group with duality pairing associated to a symmetric nonsingular matrix to the case where the matrix may be singular, as follows.

Let $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be a possibly singular matrix, and define

$$L_A = \mathbb{Z}_p^n \cap A\mathbb{Q}_p^n.$$

Then A determines a natural symmetric pairing $L_A \times L_A \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, where if $x = \frac{1}{m}Az$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{m}z^t y$. We define the finite cokernel of A to be $\Gamma = L_A/A\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. This is exactly the torsion subgroup of the cokernel of A. The above pairing descends to

$$\Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

which, as in the nonsingular case discussed at the beginning of the section, is a duality pairing on Γ.

Theorem 6. *Let Γ be a finite abelian p-group and δ a duality pairing on Γ. Choose an $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ randomly with respect to additive Haar measure. Let $\mu_n(\Gamma, \delta)$ be the probability that the finite cokernel of A with its duality pairing is isomorphic to (Γ, δ). Let r be the p-rank $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma/p\Gamma$. Then*

$$\mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = \frac{\prod_{j=n-r}^{n-1}(1-p^{-j}) \prod_{i=1}^{\lceil (n-1-r)/2 \rceil}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)}.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)}.$$

Proof. Given a matrix $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, deleting the last row and column gives a matrix A' in $\mathrm{Sym}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Clearly A' determines A as well, and the correspondence respects Haar measure.

Let Z_0 be the subset of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ where the coordinates sum to 0. We have that $L_A \subseteq Z_0$ and if equality does not hold then some subset of the $n-1$ columns of A' are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In particular A' has determinant 0, which happens with probability 0. Therefore, $L_A = Z_0$ with probability 1, which we assume from now on.

Let e_i be the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. We can explicitly check that the matrix A' gives the same pairing on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ that A gives on Z_0 by using the basis $e_i - e_n$ for Z_0. This theorem now follows from the previous one. □

3. Computing Averages

In this section, we make theoretical computations of the exact values that are predicted by Conjecture 1 for various functions F. Recall that $\mathcal{A}(m)$ is the set of all isomorphism classes of pairs (Γ, δ) where Γ is an abelian group of order m and δ is a duality pairing on Γ.

3.1. The normalizing constant.

Here we compute a constant that will be important in our later computations, as it arises when computing the denominator of our averages.

Proposition 7. *For any prime p, we have*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let (Γ, δ) be a finite abelian p-group with duality pairing. From Theorem 2, we know $\mu_n(\Gamma, \delta)$, the probability that a random matrix in $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has cokernel isomorphic to (Γ, δ). For each n we have that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = 1.$$

Recall that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma, \delta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma, \delta)}.$$

Let $\mu_{\max}(\Gamma,\delta) = \max_n \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)$. It is not hard to see that there is an absolute constant c such that for any n at least the rank of Γ, we have $\mu_{\max}(\Gamma,\delta) \leq c\mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)$, e.g. take $c = \prod_{i\geq 1}(1-2^{-1})^{-2}$. So for any k

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ r_p(\Gamma)\leq k}} \mu_{max}(\Gamma,\delta) &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ r_p(\Gamma)\leq k}} c\mu_k(\Gamma,\delta)\\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} c\mu_m(\Gamma,\delta)\\ &\leq c.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as k goes to infinity shows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_{max}(\Gamma,\delta)$$

converges. Then by the Lesbesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta),$$

as desired. □

3.2. **The probability that Γ has trivial or cyclic p-part.** For each prime p, we define

$$C_p = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-p^{1-2i}).$$

We have the following, using the measure μ defined in the introduction.

Proposition 8. *Let $F_{p-trivial}(\Gamma) = 1$ if $r_p(\Gamma) = 0$, and 0 otherwise. Then*

$$\int_{(F,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p} F(\Gamma)d\mu = C_p.$$

Proof. The measure μ of the trivial group is C_p. □

This shows for example, that the probability that a group obeying our conjectures has trivial 2-part is a little over .4194, and the probability that it has trivial 17-part is a little over .9409.

Proposition 9. *Let $F_{cyclic}(\Gamma) = 1$ if Γ is cyclic, and 0 otherwise. Then*

$$\int_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p} F(\Gamma)d\mu = \frac{C_p}{1-p^{-1}}.$$

Proof of Proposition 9. By definition, we have

$$\int_{(F,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p} F(\Gamma)d\mu = C_p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ \Gamma \text{ cyclic}}} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)}$$

For each m we show that

$$\sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ \Gamma \text{ cyclic}}} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)} = \frac{1}{p^m}. \tag{4}$$

There is only one cyclic group of given order, so we only need to take the sum over the different pairings. We claim that the number of isomorphism classes of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}, \delta)$ is equal to the size of $\#\mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}, \delta)$ for each duality pairing δ.

A duality pairing δ on the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ is determined by its value on $(1,1)$. Changing basis for $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, replacing 1 by a generator $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^*$, multiplies this value by a factor of u^2. Therefore, the isomorphism classes of duality pairings on $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ correspond naturally to the cosets in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^*$ modulo squares, and the number of automorphisms of each pairing is the number of square roots of 1. These are equal, since one is the index of the image and one is the size of the kernel for the endomorphism $u \mapsto u^2$. This proves the claim, and the lemma follows. □

3.3. **Moments.** The Cohen-Lenstra distribution is a measure on abelian p-groups such that the expected number of surjections to any group Γ is 1. These are often called the *moments* of the distribution. We now turn to computing moments of measure μ defined in the introduction.

Theorem 10. *Let $\Gamma' \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$ with $e_1 \le e_2 \le \cdots \le e_r$. Then*

$$\int_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p} \#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma')d\mu = p^{(r-1)e_1+(r-2)e_2+\cdots+e_{r-1}}.$$

We prove this result after first establishing the following analogous result in the random matrix case.

Theorem 11. *Suppose $\Gamma' \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$ with $e_1 \le e_2 \le \cdots \le e_r$. Let A be a random matrix in $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with respect to (additive) Haar measure. As n goes to infinity, the expected number of surjections from the cokernel of A to Γ' approaches $p^{(r-1)e_1+(r-2)e_2+\cdots+e_{r-1}}$.*

Proof of Theorem 11. Let $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be chosen randomly with respect to Haar measure. With probability 1, $\det(A) \neq 0$, so we assume that from now on. There are $p^{(e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_r)n}$ distinct maps $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \Gamma'$. As n goes to infinity, the probability that such a map is a surjection goes to 1. We choose such a map at random and compute the probability that it factors through the cokernel of A.

The kernel of a surjection from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to Γ' is given by the column space of a matrix $B \in M_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Given B, we determine the probability that $A\mathbb{Z}_p^n \subset B\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. This probability is unchanged by a change of basis on $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. We put B into Smith normal form, first multiplying on the right and then on the left by matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We choose $G, H \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ so that GBH is diagonal. Since $G^t\mathbb{Z}_p^n = H\mathbb{Z}_p^n = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, the probability that $A\mathbb{Z}_p^n \subset B\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is equal to the probability that $GAG^t\mathbb{Z}_p^n \subset GBH\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. By the properties of Haar measure, GAG^t is drawn from the same distribution as A.

We now need only compute the probability that $A \in D\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, where D is a diagonal matrix with r diagonal entries of positive valuation, $u_ip^{e_i}$ where each u_i is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $1 \le e_1 \le e_2 \le \cdots \le e_r$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_p^n/D\mathbb{Z}_p^n \cong \Gamma'$.

The condition that $A \in D\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ can now be phrased in terms of divisibility conditions on the entries of A that are determined by the e_i. Suppose that the kth row of D has diagonal entry $u_ip^{e_i}$. Then this condition implies that every entry of the kth row and column of A must have valuation at least e_i.

We count the number of independent entries affected and see that a random symmetric matrix satisfies all of these conditions with probability

$$p^{-e_rn-e_{r-1}(n-1)-\cdots-e_1(n-(r-1))}.$$

We multiply by the $p^{(e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_r)n}$ maps $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \to \Gamma'$, which are almost all surjections as n goes to infinity. This shows that the expected number of surjections is $p^{(r-1)e_1+(r-2)e_2+\cdots+e_{r-1}}$. □

For a partition λ given by $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots$, we let $\Gamma'_\lambda = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$. Let λ' denote the transpose of λ. Note that $\sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i$ is the sum over boxes in the partition diagram of λ of $i-1$, where i in the row the box appears in. Summing by column, we obtain $\sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i = \sum_j \frac{\lambda'_j(\lambda'_j-1)}{2}$. So we have, when $n-1 \geq \lambda'_1$, the expected number of surjections from the cokernel of $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to Γ'_λ is given by

$$p^{\sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i} = p^{\sum_j \frac{\lambda'_j(\lambda'_j-1)}{2}}. \tag{5}$$

Proof of Theorem 10. In Theorem 11, we have computed

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma'),$$

and so it remains to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma') = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma').$$

We have

$$\mu_n(\Gamma,\delta) = \frac{\prod_{j=n-r+1}^{n}(1-p^{-j})\prod_{i=1}^{\lceil (n-r)/2\rceil}(1-p^{1-2i})}{\#\Gamma \cdot \#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)},$$

where r is the rank of Γ.

We closely follow the proof of Proposition 7. Let $\mu_{\max}(\Gamma,\delta) := \max_n \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)$. It is not hard to see that there is an absolute constant c such that for any n at least the rank of Γ, we have $\mu_{\max}(\Gamma,\delta) \leq c\mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)$, e.g. take $c = \prod_{i\geq 1}(1-2^{-1})^{-2}$. So for any k

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ r_p(\Gamma)\leq k}} \mu_{max}(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma') &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)\\ r_p(\Gamma)\leq k}} c\mu_m(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma') \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} c\mu_m(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma').\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \to \infty$, we see the last term is bounded by Theorem 11. So we have that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_{max}(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma')$$

converges. Then by the Lesbesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma')$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}(p^m)} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\Gamma,\delta)\#\mathrm{Sur}(\Gamma,\Gamma'),$$

as desired. □

We now use this result to deduce the expectation of $r_p(A)$. We recall the definition of the Gaussian binomial coefficient. Let

$$\binom{k}{j}_p := \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{p^k - p^i}{p^j - p^i}.$$

This counts the number of j dimensional subspaces of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$. Note that $\binom{k}{0}_p = 1$ for any k and p since both the numerator and denominator consist of the empty product.

Theorem 12. *For a finite abelian p-group Γ, let $r_p(\Gamma)$ denote the p-rank of Γ, so $p^{r_p(\Gamma)}$ is the size of $\Gamma/p\Gamma$. We have*

$$\int_{(\Gamma,\delta)\in\mathcal{A}_p} p^{k\cdot r_p(\Gamma)} d\mu = \sum_{j=0}^{k} p^{j(j-1)/2} \binom{k}{j}_p.$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma' = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$. The number of maps to Γ' is $p^{k\cdot r_p(A)}$. Such a map surjects onto a subgroup of Γ' of size p^j for some $j \in [0,k]$. By the above theorem, the expected number of surjections onto a particular subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^j$ is $p^{j(j-1)/2}$. The number of subgroups of Γ' isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^j$ is equal to $\binom{k}{j}_p$. □

We use this result to compute the expectation of $p^{k\cdot r_p(\Gamma)}$ for small values of k. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(p^{r_p(\Gamma)}) &= 1+1 = 2,\\
\mathbb{E}(p^{2r_p(\Gamma)}) &= 1+(p+1)+p = 2p+2,\\
\mathbb{E}(p^{3r_p(\Gamma)}) &= 1+(p^2+p+1)+p(p^2+p+1)+p^3 = 2(p^3+p^2+p+1),\\
\mathbb{E}(p^{4r_p(\Gamma)}) &= 1+(p^3+p^2+1)+p(p^2+1)(p^2+p+1)+p^3(p^3+p^2+p+1)+p^6\\
&= 2(p+1)^2(p^2-p+1)(p^2+1).
\end{aligned}$$

4. Empirical evidence

Here we present some computational evidence for the conjectures stated in the introduction.

4.1. **The probability that a random graph is cyclic.** We computed the Jacobians of 10^6 connected random graphs with n vertices and edge probability q, for $n \in \{15, 30, 45, 60\}$ and $q \in \{.3, .5, .7\}$. (When disconnected graphs appeared, we discarded them without computing the Jacobians.) The following table displays the proportions of these graphs with cyclic Jacobians.

n\ q	.3	.5	.7
15	.784255	.792895	.775746
30	.793807	.793570	.793375
45	.793308	.793962	.793637
60	.793436	.793694	.79354

We believe these data support Conjecture 2, which predicts that the probability that $\Gamma(n,q)$ is cyclic tends to a limit slightly higher than .7935 as n tends to infinity.

4.2. **Relative frequencies of 2-groups with duality pairings.** We computed the Sylow 2-subgroups with duality pairing for 10^5 random graphs on 20 vertices with edge probability .5, and compared the frequency of each group of size at most 8 with the frequency of the trivial group.

In order to distinguish between the pairings that we observed, we recall the classification of finite abelian p-groups with duality pairing, following the presentation in [Mir84] in which these results are attributed to Wall [Wal64]. Let $\mathcal{B}_p$ denote the semigroup of isomorphism classes of finite abelian p-groups with duality pairing under orthogonal direct sum. The classification of symmetric bilinear forms on abelian 2-groups is more complicated than for other p-groups [Mir84].

Proposition 13. *The semigroup $\mathcal{B}_2$ is generated by forms of the following types:*

$$A_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 1; \langle 1,1\rangle = 2^{-r}$$
$$B_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 2; \langle 1,1\rangle = -2^{-r}$$
$$C_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 3; \langle 1,1\rangle = 5\cdot 2^{-r}$$
$$D_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 3; \langle 1,1\rangle = -5\cdot 2^{-r}$$
$$E_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 1; \langle e_i,e_j\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=j, \\ 2^{-r} & \text{if } i\neq j, \end{cases}$$
$$F_{2^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 2; \langle e_i,e_j\rangle = \begin{cases} 2^{-(r-1)} & \text{if } i=j, \\ 2^{-r} & \text{if } i\neq j \end{cases}.$$

The relations between these generators for $\mathcal{B}_2$ are complicated and we will not need them here.

$(\mathbf{\Gamma},\delta)$	$\#\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{\Gamma},\delta)$	**Proportion of Sample**	**Observed Ratio**	**Expected Ratio**
1	1	.419161	1	1
A_2	1	.210371	1.99249	2
A_4	2	.0518826	8.07903	8
B_4	2	.0522326	8.02489	8
$A_2\oplus A_2$	2	.0517726	8.0962	8
E_4	6	.0170709	24.5542	24
A_8	4	.0129706	32.3161	32
B_8	4	.0131007	31.9953	32
C_8	4	.0132507	31.6332	32
D_8	4	.0129206	32.4412	32
$A_2\oplus A_4$	2	.0259813	16.1332	16
$A_2\oplus A_2\oplus A_2$	6	.00888044	47.2005	48

Here, the observed ratio is the observed frequency of the trivial group .419161 divided by the observed frequency of (Γ,δ), while the expected ratio is the factor $\#\Gamma\cdot\#\mathrm{Aut}\ (\Gamma,\delta)$ predicted by Conjecture 1, in the special case where F depends only on the Sylow 2-subgroup of Γ with its restricted pairing.

4.3. **Relative frequencies of 3-groups with duality pairings.** We now recall the classification of finite abelian p-groups with duality pairing for odd primes p [Mir84].

Proposition 14. *If p is odd, the semigroup $\mathcal{B}_p$ is generated by cyclic groups with pairings of the following two types:*

$$A_{p^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 1; \langle 1,1\rangle = p^{-r}, \text{and}$$
$$B_{p^r} \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}/2^r\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 1; \langle 1,1\rangle = \alpha p^{-r},$$

where α is a quadratic non-residue mod p.

The semigroup $\mathcal{B}_p$ is not free on these generators; the relations are generated by $A_{p^r} \oplus A_{p^r} = B_{p^r} \oplus B_{p^r}$.

We computed the Sylow 3-subgroup of the Jacobians on a sample of 10^5 random graphs on 20 vertices with edge probability .5, and compared the relative frequency of each group with pairing of size at most 9 with the frequency of the trivial group.

$(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \delta)$	$\#\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \delta)$	**Proportion of Sample**	**Observed Ratio**	**Expected Ratio**
1	1	.638566	1	1
A_3	2	.106104	6.01828	6
B_3	2	.106324	6.00583	6
A_9	2	.0349714	18.2597	18
B_9	2	.0355414	17.9668	18
$A_3 \oplus A_3$	8	.00905036	70.5569	72
$A_3 \oplus B_3$	4	.0177307	36.0147	36

We found similar data for Sylow 5-subgroups and Sylow 7-subgroups of Jacobians of random graphs.

4.4. **Relative frequencies at two places.** Any duality pairing on a finite abelian group decomposes as an orthogonal direct sum of duality pairings on the Sylow p-subgroups. Here we give data supporting the hypothesis that the p-parts of Jacobians of random graphs are independent for distinct primes.

We computed the Sylow 2-subgroups and 3-subgroups of $2 \cdot 10^5$ random graphs on 30 vertices with edge probability .5. In 53366 cases, both of these subgroups were trivial. The following table displays the ratio of the frequency of the trivial group to the frequency of each of the duality pairings on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

$(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \delta)$	$\#\mathbf{Aut}(\Gamma, \delta)$	**Proportion in Sample**	**Observed Ratio**	**Expected Ratio**
$A_2 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3$	4	.005655	47.1848	48
$A_2 \oplus A_2 \oplus B_3$	4	.005420	49.2306	48
$A_3 \oplus E_4$	12	.001865	143.072	144
$B_3 \oplus E_4$	12	.001965	135.791	144

5. Acknowledgments

We thank Matt Baker, Wei Ho, and Matt Kahle for helpful conversations. The fourth author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1068689 and NSF CAREER grant DMS-1149054. The fifth author was supported by an American Institute of Mathematics Five-Year Fellowship and National Science Foundation grants DMS-1147782 and DMS-1301690.

References

[BKL+13] M. Bhargava, D. Kane, H. Lenstra, B. Poonen, and E. Rains, *Modeling the distribution of ranks, Selmer groups, and Shafarevich-Tate groups of elliptic curves*, preprint arXiv:1304.3971, 2013.

[BM98] E. Bannai and A. Munemasa, *Duality maps of finite abelian groups and their applications to spin models*, J. Algebraic Combin. **8** (1998), no. 3, 223–233.

[Cas62] J. Cassels, *Arithmetic on curves of genus* 1. *IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **211** (1962), 95–112.

[CL84a] H. Cohen and H. Lenstra, *Heuristics on class groups of number fields*, Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1068, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 33–62.

[CL84b] H. Cohen and H. Lenstra, Jr., *Heuristics on class groups*, Number theory (New York, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1052, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 26–36.

[CLP13] J. Clancey, T. Leake, and S. Payne, *A note on Jacobians, Tutte polynomials, and two-variable zeta functions of graphs*, preprint, arXiv:1309.3340, 2013.

[CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, third ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov.

[Del01] C. Delaunay, *Heuristics on Tate-Shafarevitch groups of elliptic curves defined over* $\mathbb{Q}$, Experiment. Math. **10** (2001), no. 2, 191–196.

[Del07] ———, *Heuristics on class groups and on Tate-Shafarevich groups: the magic of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics*, Ranks of elliptic curves and random matrix theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 341, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 323–340.

[FW89] E. Friedman and L. Washington, *On the distribution of divisor class groups of curves over a finite field*, Théorie des nombres (Quebec, PQ, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989, pp. 227–239.

[Lor89] D. Lorenzini, *Arithmetical graphs*, Math. Ann. **285** (1989), no. 3, 481–501.

[Mac69] J. MacWilliams, *Orthogonal matrices over finite fields*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 152–164.

[Map13] K. Maples, *Symmetric random matrices over finite fields announcement*, April 15, 2013, http://user.math.uzh.ch/maples/.

[Mir84] R. Miranda, *Nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on finite abelian 2-groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), no. 2, 535–542.

[Sho10] F. Shokrieh, *The monodromy pairing and discrete logarithm on the Jacobian of finite graphs*, J. Math. Cryptol. **4** (2010), no. 1, 43–56.

[Wag00] D. Wagner, *The critical group of a directed graph*, preprint, arXiv:math/0010241, 2000.

[Wal64] C. T. C. Wall, *Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics*, Topology **2** (1964), 281–298.

[Woo14] M. Wood, *The distribution of sandpile groups of random graphs*, preprint, 2014.